JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Magdalena Bednarek-Hubbard 45 Greenwich Drive Galloway, NJ 08205			DEFENDANTS Harrah's Resort Atlantic City 777 Harrah's Boulevard Atlantic City, NJ 08401				
(b) County of Residence			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Atlantic				
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO FLAND INVOLVED.	(A) (C) (C) (A)		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Graham F. Baird, Law O 2 Penn Center, 1500 JF	Address, and Telephone Number)  office of Eric A. Shore  K Blvd, Suite 1240, Philadelphia, PA 191	02	Attorneys (If Known)				
Tel: 267-546-0131							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti		
□ 1 U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize		I I Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4		
<ul><li>2 U.S. Government Defendant</li></ul>	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2			
			n or Subject of a  eign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	LEO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &  PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	G25	EABOR  Description of Property 21 USC 881  Other  LABOR  Fair Labor Standards Act  Labor/Management Relations Pailway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  X 1 Original							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq  Brief description of cause:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Americans with Disabilities Act  ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		MAND \$ 50,000.00	CHECK YES only i	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):  JUDGE		17	DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 3/16/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF	RECORD				
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							
				WING. JUDA			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MAGDALENA BEDNAREK-HUBBARD

45 Greenwich Drive
Galloway, NJ 08205

Plaintiff,

v.

No.

HARRAH'S RESORT ATLANTIC CITY

777 Harrah's Boulevard
Atlantic City, NJ 08401

Defendants

Defendants

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, MAGDALENA BEDNAREK-HUBBARD (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address. Plaintiff is a citizen of New Jersey.
- 2. Defendant, HARRAH'S RESORT ATLANTIC CITY (hereinafter "Defendant") is a corporation organized by and operating under the laws of the State of New Jersey and having a principal place of business at the above captioned address. Defendant is a citizen of New Jersey.
- 3. Defendant qualifies as Plaintiff's employer pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination ("LAD").
- 4. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Act. (See Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of a "right-to-sue" letter issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.)

- 5. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination as well as applicable federal law and state law.
  - 6. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working within the State of New Jersey at the time of the illegal actions by Defendant as set forth herein.

#### II. Operative Facts.

- 9. In or around October of 2014, Defendant hired Plaintiff as a Diamond Club Specialist.
- In or around October of 2018, Plaintiff began experiencing symptoms of major depression and panic attacks.
- 11. Plaintiff provided Defendant with an FMLA application and certification completed by her physician, Dr. Rita Kammiel.
- 12. On October 26, 2018, Plaintiff was approved for intermittent FMLA, allowing for her to miss one (1) day off of work per week as needed; however, she did not require days off every week.
- 13. Prior to her request for FMLA, Plaintiff worked forty (40) hours a week, and was assigned a set schedule that did not usually have any major changes.

- 14. Shortly following the approval of her FMLA leave, Plaintiff noticed her previously-assigned work schedule was changing, namely that she was being scheduled for days off during the week rather than the schedule she had always worked.
  - 15. Plaintiff asked Defendant's manager, John McEachern, about the changes.
- 16. Mr. McEachern responded that he "needed to change her schedule" to ensure coverage in case she needed to utilize her intermittent FMLA.
- 17. Additionally, Plaintiff's hours were cut from forty (40) per week to approximately twenty-four (24).
- 18. However, during this time Plaintiff was still being called to cover shifts for other employees who had called out (an assistance to other employees that Defendant seemed unwilling to provide for Plaintiff herself.)
- 19. During November and December of 2018, Plaintiff's schedule continued to change and her hours were further reduced to a low of approximately twenty (20) hours per week.
- 20. Plaintiff approached Mr. McEachern and asked if she could be scheduled for more hours, or to return to her previous full-time set schedule because she is a single mother.
- 21. Mr. McEachern responded that if Plaintiff "can't balance work and kids, maybe this job isn't for her."
- 22. In January of 2019, Plaintiff's schedule was again changed, and she was scheduled to work on Thursdays, which Defendant knew was the only day that Plaintiff could not work.
- 23. Plaintiff told Defendant that she was unable to work on Thursdays, but was told she should either "call out" or use her intermittent FMLA time.

- 24. In February of 2019, Plaintiff was averaging approximately nineteen (19) hours scheduled per week, while other employees were being scheduled for forty (40) hours per week.
- 25. Plaintiff asked Defendant's supervisor, Katherine Contreras, why her hours were so drastically cut and why she was never offered vacant shifts as the other employees were.
- 26. Ms. Contreras stated it was due to Plaintiff's intermittent FMLA and Defendant's need to ensure that shifts were covered.
- 27. On March 8, 2019, Plaintiff was presented with a notice of termination document stating that Plaintiff was terminated for attendance reasons.
- 28. In August of 2018, prior to the diagnosis of Plaintiff's disability and the approval of her intermittent FMLA, Plaintiff had been warned that she was approaching her maximum allowed "attendance points" in their system.
- 29. Following that, Plaintiff never received any other warnings regarding her time or attendance; however, the termination paperwork showed she had been issued points on November 3, 2018 which was inaccurate and untrue.
- 30. Plaintiff additionally was issued points for a call out on March 2, 2019, which was after January 1, 2019 when points are supposed to reset.
- 31. Plaintiff requested proof she had been issued these additional points warnings; however, Defendant was unable to produce this documentation.
- 34. As described above, Defendant began to immediately retaliate against Plaintiff following her request for intermittent FMLA leave.
- 35. When Plaintiff asked why her schedule was being so drastically reduced,

  Defendant stated that it was, in fact, due to her intermittent FMLA, however other non-disabled

employees were working forty (40) plus hour weeks and were offered extra shifts to cover other employees' non-FMLA call outs.

- 36. At all times material, Defendant was hostile to Plaintiff's disability and need to have intermittent FMLA and terminated her due to that animus.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, as well emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as set forth below.

#### IV. Causes of Action.

## COUNT I – TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-37 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 39. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 40. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 41. Defendants are "employers" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 42. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 43. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..

- 44. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 45. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 46. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

## COUNT II – NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION N.J.S.A. 10:5-1, et seq.

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-46 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 48. As set forth above, Plaintiff's disability was a motivating factor in the Defendants' decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
- 49. As such, Defendants have violated the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, N.J.S.A. 10:5-1, et seq.
- 50. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.

- 51. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
  - 52. Plaintiffs demands attorneys fees and court costs.

## COUNT III – VIOLATION OF FMLA—RETALIATION (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-52 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 54. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq.
- 55. As described above, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment, an adverse action, in retaliation for her exercising her rights to take FMLA leave.
- 56. Defendants' motivation in terminating Plaintiff's employment was based, in part, upon her application and utilization of FMLA leave.
- 57. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 58. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 59. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

#### V. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff MAGDALENA BEDNAREK-HUBBARD demands judgment in her favor and against Defendant, HARRAH'S RESORT ATLANTIC CITY jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable..

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE Two Penn Center

1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Plaintiff, Magdalena Bednarek-Hubbard

Date: 3 16 20 20

# EXH. A

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

		DISMISSAL AND N	OTICE OF	_ Rights				
To: Magdalena Bednarek-Hubbard 45 Greenwich Drive Galloway, NJ 08205		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107					
	CON	ehalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is FIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Char	ge No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.			
F00 0040		Legal Unit,						
530-2019-		Legal Technician			(267) 589-9700			
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING	ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR TI	HE FOLLOW	WING REASON:				
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.							
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.							
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.							
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge							
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.							
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.							
	Other (briefly state)							
		- NOTICE OF SU (See the additional information						
You may file lawsuit mus	a lawsuit agair t be filed WITH	ith Disabilities Act, the Genetic Info ment Act: This will be the only notice ast the respondent(s) under federal la IN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this suit based on a claim under state law	of dismissa w based on notice: or y	al and of your right to this charge in federa	sue that we will send you.			
alleged EFA	underpayment.	suits must be filed in federal or state of This means that backpay due for an t be collectible.	court within :	2 years (3 years for v s that occurred <u>mo</u>	villful violations) of the re than 2 years (3 years)			
		On behalf or	the Commis	sion				
		Janie RW Ules			12/26/19			
Enclosures(s)	Enclosures(s)				12/20/18			
		Jamie R. William District Direct			(Dale Mailed)			
Richard Tartaglio, Esq.		Graham F. I	Baird, Esq.					

Senior Corporate Counsel
CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT LEGAL DEPARTMENT
Bally's Atlantic City
Park Place And The Boardwalk
Atlantic City, NJ 08401

LAW OFFICE OF ERIC SHORE 2 Penn Center 1500 JFK Blvd., Suite 1240 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

## INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

#### **PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS**

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge within 90 days of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

#### PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

#### ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

NOTICE OF RIGHTS UNDER THE ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008 (ADAAA): The ADA was amended, effective January 1, 2009, to broaden the definitions of disability to make it easier for individuals to be covered under the ADA/ADAAA. A disability is still defined as (1) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities (actual disability); (2) a record of a substantially limiting impairment; or (3) being regarded as having a disability. However, these terms are redefined, and it is easier to be covered under the new law.

If you plan to retain an attorney to assist you with your ADA claim, we recommend that you share this information with your attorney and suggest that he or she consult the amended regulations and appendix, and other ADA related publications, available at <a href="http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability">http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability</a> regulations.cfm.

"Actual" disability or a "record of" a disability (note: if you are pursuing a failure to accommodate claim you must meet the standards for either "actual" or "record of" a disability):

- > The limitations from the impairment no longer have to be severe or significant for the impairment to be considered substantially limiting.
- In addition to activities such as performing manual tasks, walking, seeing, hearing, speaking, breathing, learning, thinking, concentrating, reading, bending, and communicating (more examples at 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(i)), "major life activities" now include the operation of major bodily functions, such as: functions of the immune system, special sense organs and skin; normal cell growth; and digestive, genitourinary, bowel, bladder, neurological, brain, respiratory, circulatory, cardiovascular, endocrine, hemic, lymphatic, musculoskeletal, and reproductive functions; or the operation of an individual organ within a body system.
- > Only one major life activity need be substantially limited.
- With the exception of ordinary eyeglasses or contact lenses, the beneficial effects of "mitigating measures" (e.g., hearing aid, prosthesis, medication, therapy, behavioral modifications) are not considered in determining if the impairment substantially limits a major life activity.
- An impairment that is "episodic" (e.g., epilepsy, depression, multiple sclerosis) or "in remission" (e.g., cancer) is a disability if it would be substantially limiting when active.
- An impairment may be substantially limiting even though it lasts or is expected to last fewer than six months.

#### "Regarded as" coverage:

- An individual can meet the definition of disability if an employment action was taken because of an actual or perceived impairment (e.g., refusal to hire, demotion, placement on involuntary leave, termination, exclusion for failure to meet a qualification standard, harassment, or denial of any other term, condition, or privilege of employment).
- > "Regarded as" coverage under the ADAAA no longer requires that an impairment be substantially limiting, or that the employer perceives the impairment to be substantially limiting.
- > The employer has a defense against a "regarded as" claim only when the impairment at issue is objectively BOTH transitory (lasting or expected to last six months or less) AND minor.
- A person is not able to bring a failure to accommodate claim if the individual is covered only under the "regarded as" definition of "disability."

Note: Although the amended ADA states that the definition of disability "shall be construed broadly" and "should not demand extensive analysis," some courts require specificity in the complaint explaining how an impairment substantially limits a major life activity or what facts indicate the challenged employment action was because of the impairment. Beyond the initial pleading stage, some courts will require specific evidence to establish disability. For more information, consult the amended regulations and appendix, as well as explanatory publications, available at <a href="http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm">http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm</a>.